

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/403,312	01/21/2000	KUNIO FUKUDA	6715/57722	7093
7	590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
JAY H MAIOLI COOPER & DUNHAM 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			HARPER, KEVIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	r	A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/403,312	FUKUDA, KUNIO				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin C. Harper	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE to on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•	,				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,7-9,11-13,16-18,21-26,31,32,3	38-40,43-45 and 48-50.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:		•				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Malkamaki does not diclose discriminating a multi-carrier signal and a signal carrier is not persuasive. In fig. 6 of Malkamaki, a base station receiver (fig. 1, item 104) discriminates received signals from mobile stations within one time period (TN0) having at least one broadband transmission (WB0) and at least one narrowband transmission ("124" of item 202 as described on page 5, lines 20-24 and 26-31). Each narrowband transmission uses a single carrier (page 6, lines 17-22) and each broadband transmission uses several subcarriers (page 10, lines 2-3 and 5-14). The above sections of Malkamaki were cited in or describe citations of paragraphs 1-2 and 4 of the previous office action.

KCH 11/20/04

DANG TON TEMBAS PARMIST